# Case 2:21-cv-02374-SHL(CIRCUID/CHANCERY) COURTION TENNESSEE Page 1 of 1 LECTRONICALLY FILED 140 ADAMS AVENUE, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE 38103 2021 Apr 23 1:18 PM FOR THE THIRTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT MEMPHIS CLERK OF COURT

SUMMONS IN CIVIL ACTION

Docket No. CT-1101-21	Divorce	Ad Damnum \$107,374.60 + interes
STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY COMPANY A/S/O/ DIANE GORDON	VS	WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION and BITRON IND. CHINA CO., LTD.
Plaintiff(s)		Defendant(s)
TO: (Name and Address of Defendant (One defendant	per summons))	Method of Service:
WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION c/o Registered Agent Corporation Service Company 2908 Poston Ave Nashville, TN 37203-1312		Certified Mail Shelby County Sheriff Commissioner of Insurance (\$) Secretary of State (\$) Other TN County Sheriff (\$) Private Process Server Other
You are hereby summoned and required to defend a		(\$) Attach Required Fees
attorney, whose address is 2809 12th Avenue Stelephone (615) 292-2600 within THIRT of service. If you fail to do so, a judgment by default not service.	Y (30) DAYS after thing and be taken agains	is summons has been served upon you, not including the da
TESTED AND ISSUED	Ву_	, D.C.
	TO THE DEFENDA	.NT:
should be entered against you in this action and you wish to to claim as exempt with the Clerk of the Court. The list may lit is filed before the judgment becomes final, it will not be eitems are automatically exempt by law and do not need to be your family and trunks or other receptacles necessary to cor	sonal property exemp o claim property as exe be filed at any time an ffective as to any exec be listed. These include ttain such apparel, fam	the following notice: otion from execution or seizure to satisfy a judgment. If a judgment empt, you must file a written list, under oath, of the items you wish ad may be changed by you thereafter as necessary; however, unless cution or garnishment issued prior to the filing of the list. Certain the items of necessary wearing apparel (clothing) for yourself and only portraits, the family Bible and school books. Should any of these and your exemption right or how to exercise it, you may wish to see
FOR AMERICANS WITH DISAB	ILITIES ACT (ADA) ASS	SISTANCE <u>ONLY,</u> CALL (901) 222-2341
I, TEMIIKA D. GIPSON / W. AARON HALL, Clerk of the Court,	Shelby County, Tenne	essee, certify this to be a true and accurate copy as filed this
20		
TEMIIKA D. GIPSON , Clerk / W. AARON HALL, Clerk and N	Master By:	, D.C.

r Case 2:21-c	<del>/-02374-SHL-cac ь Даа</del>	w <del>nentsled o Etladi</del> w	6√6 <u>4/21</u> Pa	ge 2 of 13 PageID 6
	AVE SERVEDTHE WITHIN SUI		<u>JNO</u>	3
_				
By delivering on the	day of	, 20	)at	M. a copy of the summons
and a copy of the Complair	nt to the following Defendant			
at				
		Bı	<i>r</i> :	
Signature of person accept	ing service		Sheriff or other	er authorized person to serve process
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	RETURNO	F NON-SERVICE OF SUM	IMONS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I H.	AVE_NOT_SERVED THE WITHIN	SUMMONS:		
To the named Defendant _				
because	is (are) not to	be found in this County	/ after diligent s	earch and inquiry for the following
reason(s):				
Thisday o	f	, 20		

By: Sheriff or other authorized person to serve process



### The Shelby County, Tennessee Circuit Court

Case Style: STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY VS WHIRLPOOL CORP

Case Number: CT-1101-21

Type: SUMMONS ISSD TO MISC

R

David Smith, DC

Electronically signed on 04/23/2021 01:44:59 PM

### IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF TENNESSEE FOR THE THIRTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT MEMPHIS

STATE FARM FIRE & CASUALTY	)
COMPANY A/S/O/ DIANE GORDON	ĺ
Plaintiff,	)
<b>v.</b>	) CIVIL ACTION NO. CT-1101-21
	) JURY DEMAND
WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION and	)
BITRON IND. CHINA CO., LTD.,	)
	)
Defendants.	)

#### **PLAINTIFF'S AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, State Farm Fire & Casualty Company, as subrogee of Diane Gordon, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint against Whirlpool Corporation and Bitron Ind. China Co., Ltd. (collectively, "Defendants") and alleges the following:

#### THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, State Farm Fire & Casualty Company (hereinafter "State Farm") is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business located at One State Farm Way, Bloomington, Illinois, 61710.
- 2. At all relevant times, State Farm was duly authorized to issue insurance policies in the State of Tennessee.
- 3. State Farm's subrogor, Diane Gordon (hereinafter, "Gordon"), is an individual and resident of the State of Tennessee, and at all relevant times resided at 573 S. Front St., Memphis, TN 38103 (hereinafter, "Property").
- 4. Defendant, Whirlpool Corporation ("Whirlpool") is, upon information and belief, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware with a principal place of business located at 2000 N M-63, Benton Harbor, Michigan. At all times material herein,

Whirlpool was engaged in the design, manufacture, assembly, sale and/or supply of clothes washers for distribution in the United States.

5. Defendant, Bitron Ind. China Co., Ltd. ("Bitron") is a company doing business in the state of Tennessee, including Shelby County, with its principal place of business located South of Chunyang Road, West of Hua Guan Road, High-Tech Zone, Cheng Yang District, Qingdao City, Shan Dong Province, PRC Post Code 266111. As such, Bitron is subject to the jurisdiction of this court, and venue for this Amended Complaint is proper. At all times material herein, Bitron was engaged in the design, manufacture, assembly, sale and/or supply of solenoid valves for distribution in the United States.

#### **JURISDICTION**

6. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court in that the damages alleged exceed the jurisdictional requirements of this Court; both Defendants regularly conduct business in the State of Tennessee; the events giving rise to this action occurred in Shelby County, Tennessee; and the real property involved in this action is located in Shelby County, Tennessee.

### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 7. On or about August 14, 2012 Gordon purchased a Whirlpool clothes washing machine, Model WFW9550WL10, Serial No. CS20704810. The washing machine contained a component part a solenoid fill valve manufactured and sold by Defendant Bitron (the washing machine and component parts, collectively, are referred to herein as the "Subject Product.")
- 8. On May 29, 2018, Gordon discovered that the Property was flooded with water that had leaked from the Subject Product.

- 9. This leak was the result of a defect in the design, manufacture, and/or warnings for the Subject Product.
- 10. As a result of the water leak, Gordon incurred damages to her real and personal property.
- 11. At all relevant times, the Property was insured by State Farm, pursuant to the terms and conditions of Policy No. 42-BV-H235-9 which insured her against, *inter alia*, damages to real and personal property, as well as additional living expenses, loss of use and extra expense.
- 12. Pursuant to the terms of the Policy, which provided coverage for the water leak, State Farm reimbursed Gordon for damage to the Property.
- 13. Having paid Gordon for the damage caused by the Subject Product pursuant to the Policy, State Farm is contractually, legally, and equitably subrogated to Gordon's right to bring this action against those responsible for the damages caused by the water leak.

### COUNT I: STRICT PRODUCT LIABLITY AGAINST WHIRLPOOL

- 14. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations above and below as if stated in full herein.
  - 15. Whirlpool was the manufacturer and seller of the Subject Product..
- 16. The leak referred to above and consequent damage and destruction to the insureds' property was caused by Defendant Whirlpool's defective washer for which it is strictly liable under Tenn. Code Ann. § 29-28-105(a) (1980 Repl.).
- 17. The Subject Product contained the defect that caused it to fail when it left Whirlpool's possession and control.

18. As a direct and proximate result of Whirlpool's breach, as delineated by Tenn. Code Ann. § 29-28-105(a) (1980 Repl.), the Subject Product created a water leak, which resulted in significant damages to Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff State Farm, as subrogee of Diane Gordon, demands judgment against Defendant Whirlpool in the amount of \$107,374.60 together with interest, the costs of this action, and such other and further relief as the Court may award.

## COUNT II: NEGLIGENCE AGAINST WHIRLPOOL

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations above and below as if stated in full herein.
- 20. Whirlpool manufactured, designed, assembled, sold, distributed, marketed, or otherwise placed the Subject Product and/or its component parts into the stream of commerce.
- 21. In selling the Subject Product, Whirlpool owed a duty of reasonable care to the general public, including Gordon, to ensure its product was safe, free from defect, and did not create an undue risk of injury or property damage.
- 22. The leak and resulting damages sustained by Gordon were directly and proximately caused by the negligence, carelessness, negligent omissions and/or gross negligence of Whirlpool, acting by and through its employees, agents and servants, acting within the scope and course of their employment, as follows:
  - (a) supplying a product which was improperly designed and/or manufactured;
  - (b) failing to properly and safely inspect and test the product before placing it in the stream of commerce;
  - (c) failing to use reasonable care in the design and manufacture of the product so as to make it safe for its intended use;
  - (d) failing to provide adequate warnings and instructions for its product;

- (e) failing to take the proper and necessary precautions to prevent a leak from occurring; and/or
- (f) otherwise causing or allowing the leak to occur.
- 23. As a direct and proximate result of Whirlpool's negligence, on or about on May 29, 2018, the Subject Product failed, causing serious real and personal property damage to State Farm's insured.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff State Farm, as subrogee of Diane Gordon, demands judgment against Defendant Whirlpool in the amount of \$107,374.60, together with interest, the costs of this action, and such other and further relief as the Court may award.

### COUNT III: STRICT PRODUCT LIABLITY AGAINST BITRON

- 24. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations above and below as if stated in full herein.
- 25. Bitron designed, manufactured, and/or distributed the solenoid valve that was part of the Subject Product.
- 26. In placing the valve into the stream of commerce, Bitron impliedly warranted that it was of merchantable quality and fit for its intended use.
- 27. The defective condition of the solenoid valve allowed water to leak from the Subject Product, allowing water to accumulate on the floor in significant quantities.
  - 28. The valve and Subject Product were used in their ordinary course.
- 29. The solenoid valve contained this defect when it left Bitron's possession and control.
- 30. The leak referred to above and consequent damage and destruction to the insureds' property was caused by Defendant Bitron's defective product for which it is strictly liable under Tenn. Code Ann. § 29-28-105(a) (1980 Repl.).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff State Farm, as subrogee of Diane Gordon, demands judgment against Defendant Bitron in the amount of \$107,374.60, together with interest, the costs of this action, and such other and further relief as the Court may award.

### COUNT IV: NEGLIGENCE AGAINST BITRON

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations above and below as if stated in full herein.
- 32. Bitron designed, assembled, manufactured, and distributed the solenoid valve to the subject washing machine.
- 33. Bitron had a duty to design, manufacture, and distribute the solenoid valve to Whirlpool for use in the Subject Product in such a way that it would be safe and free of defects.
- 34. Bitron had a duty to warn persons who might reasonably use its washing machine about latent dangerous defects with the solenoid valve to the subject washing machine.
- 35. Bitron knew, or reasonably should have known, that if Bitron designed, manufactured, and distributed a solenoid valve to and/or for the Subject Product with a latent defect, and if Bitron failed to warn of such defect, users of the Subject Product could incur personal or real property damage.
- 36. The water leak was the result of a defect in and malfunction of the solenoid valve in the washing machine in the course of its ordinary use.
- 37. The malfunction of the solenoid valve was caused by a defective condition in that the solenoid valve had an imperfection that allowed water to leak through and accumulate on the floor in significant quantities.

- 38. The Subject Product contained this defect when it left this defendant's possession and control.
- 39. The leak and resulting damages sustained by Gordon were directly and proximately caused by the negligence, carelessness, negligent omissions and/or gross negligence of Bitron, acting by and through its employees, agents and servants, acting within the scope and course of their employment, as follows:
  - (a) supplying a product which was improperly designed and/or manufactured;
  - (b) failing to properly and safely inspect and test the product before placing it in the stream of commerce;
  - (c) failing to use reasonable care in the design and manufacture of the product so as to make it safe for its intended use;
  - (d) failing to provide adequate warnings and instructions for its product;
  - (e) failing to take the proper and necessary precautions to prevent a leak from occurring; and/or
  - (f) otherwise causing or allowing the leak to occur.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of Bitron's negligence, on or about on May 29, 2018, the Subject Product failed, causing serious real and personal property damage to State Farm's insured.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff State Farm, as subrogee of Diane Gordon, demands judgment against Defendant Bitron in the amount of \$107,374.60, together with interest, the costs of this action, and such other and further relief as the Court may award.

## COUNT V: BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY AGAINST WHIRLPOOL

41. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations above and below as if stated in full herein.

- 42. By placing the Subject Product into the stream of commerce, Whirlpool impliedly warranted that the Subject Product was safe, merchantable and fit for its intended use.
- 43. Whirlpool breached its implied warranty because the Subject Product was unsafe, not of merchantable quality and unfit for its intended use when it was placed into the stream of commerce.
- 44. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid breach of warranty, the leak occurred causing damages to State Farm described above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff State Farm, as subrogee of Diane Gordon, demands judgment against Defendant Whirlpool in the amount of \$107,374.60, together with interest, the costs of this action, and such other and further relief as the Court may award.

### COUNT VI: BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY AGAINST BRITON

- 45. Plaintiff incorporates all of the allegations above and below as if stated in full herein.
- 46. By placing the solenoid fill valve into the stream of commerce, Briton impliedly warranted that the valve was safe, merchantable and fit for its intended use.
- 47. Briton breached its implied warranty because the valve was unsafe, not of merchantable quality and unfit for its intended use when it was placed into the stream of commerce.
- 48. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid breach of warranty, the leak occurred causing damages to State Farm described above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff State Farm, as subrogee of Diane Gordon, demands judgment against Defendant Briton in the amount of \$107,374.60, together with interest, the costs of this action, and such other and further relief as the Court may award.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY, respectfully requests a judgment against Defendants WHIRLPOOL CORPORTION and BITRON IND. CHINA CO., LTD., for an amount to be proven at trial, to include payments totaling \$107,374.60 for property damages, together with interest, the costs of this action, and such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

#### PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY.

Respectfully Submitted,

BELL, McCANN & McINTEER, PLC

s/ Matthew W. McInteer

Matthew W. McInteer BPR No. 022923 Justin F. Seamon BPR No. 029382 2809 12th Avenue South Nashville, TN 37204 matthew@b2mlaw.com justin@b2mlaw.com

Phone: 615-292-2600 Fax: 615-829-8440 Bell, McConn & McIntern 2916-0237 2809 12th Avenue, South Nashville, TN 37204



7020 3160 0002 3128 3765





U.S. POSTAGE PAI FOM IG ENVE PAI NASHVILLE, TN 37215 APP 28, 21 AMOUNT

Rea

\$7.85 R2305K142532-30

Whirlpool Corporation c/o Registered Agent Corporation Service Company 2908 Poston Ave. Nashville, TN 37203-1312

րընդերի հերարի արև այլերի և բանական այլերի և բանական այլերի և հերարարի և հերարարի և հերարարի և հերարարի և հերա